

FAMILIES OF LINEAR SEMIGROUPS WITH INTERMEDIATE GROWTH

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. Methods from additive number theory are applied to construct families of finitely generated linear semigroups with intermediate growth.

1. GROWTH FUNCTIONS OF FINITELY GENERATED SEMIGROUPS

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finitely generated semigroup and let A be a set of generators for $\mathcal{S}$. Every element $x \in \mathcal{S}$ can be written as a word with letters from the set A , that is, as a finite product of elements of A . The *length* of x with respect to A , denoted $\ell_A(x)$, is the number of letters in the shortest word that represents x . Note that $\ell_A(x) = 1$ if and only if $x \in A$. We shall assume that $\mathcal{S}$ contains an identity element 1 and that $1 \notin A$. We define $\ell_A(1) = 0$.

Let $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denote the set of positive integers and $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ the set of nonnegative integers. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, let $\lambda_A(n)$ denote the number of elements of $\mathcal{S}$ of length exactly n . We define the *growth function* $\gamma_A(n)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to A by

$$\gamma_A(n) = \text{card}(\{x \in \mathcal{S} : \ell_A(x) \leq n\}) = \sum_{m=0}^n \lambda_A(m).$$

The function $\gamma_A(n)$ is an increasing function that counts the number of elements of $\mathcal{S}$ of length at most n . If $\text{card}(A) = k$, then, for all nonnegative integers n ,

$$\lambda_A(n) \leq k^n$$

and

$$\gamma_A(n) \leq \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{k^{n+1}-1}{k-1} & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ has *polynomial growth* with respect to the generating set A if there exist positive numbers c and d such that $\gamma_A(n) \leq cn^d$ for all sufficiently large n . In this case, $\log \gamma_A(n) \leq \log c + d \log n$ and so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{\log n} < \infty.$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20M05, 20F69, 20F65, 11B75.

Key words and phrases. Semigroups, intermediate growth, semigroup growth, group growth, sumsets, Sidon sets, $\hat{B}_h$ -sequences, partition asymptotics, additive number theory.

This work was supported in part by grants from the NSA Mathematical Sciences Program and the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

If $\mathcal{S}$ does not have polynomial growth, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{\log n} = \infty.$$

We say that $\mathcal{S}$ has *superpolynomial growth* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{\log n} = \infty.$$

The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ has *exponential growth* with respect to the generating set A if there exists $\theta > 1$ such that $\gamma_A(n) \geq \theta^n$ for all sufficiently large n . In this case, $\log \gamma_A(n) \geq n \log \theta$ and so

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{n} > 0.$$

If $\mathcal{S}$ does not have exponential growth, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{n} = 0.$$

We say that the $\mathcal{S}$ has *subexponential growth* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{n} = 0.$$

The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ has *intermediate growth* with respect to A if the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is both superpolynomial and subexponential.

We review some standard facts about growth functions. Let A and B be finite generating sets for $\mathcal{S}$, and let

$$c_A = \max(\ell_A(b) : b \in B)$$

and

$$c_B = \max(\ell_B(a) : a \in A).$$

Then

$$\gamma_B(n) \leq \gamma_A(c_A n)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(n) \leq \gamma_B(c_B n).$$

These inequalities imply that the growth function $\gamma_A(n)$ is polynomial, superpolynomial, subexponential, or exponential if and only if the growth function $\gamma_B(n)$ is, respectively, polynomial, superpolynomial, subexponential, or exponential.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be finitely generated semigroups and let $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be an injective semigroup homomorphism. If A is any finite generating set for $\mathcal{S}$, then there is a finite generating set B for $\mathcal{T}$ such that*

$$\gamma_A^{(\mathcal{S})}(n) \leq \gamma_B^{(\mathcal{T})}(n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is polynomial or subexponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is, respectively, polynomial or subexponential. If the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is superpolynomial or exponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is, respectively, superpolynomial or exponential.

Proof. Let A be a finite generating set for $\mathcal{S}$, let B' be any finite generating set for $\mathcal{T}$, and let $B = B' \cup f(A)$. If $\ell_A(s) = m$, then $s = a_1 \cdots a_m$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$. Since $f(s) = f(a_1) \cdots f(a_m)$, it follows that $\ell_B(f(s)) \leq m$. Since f is one-to-one, it follows that $\gamma_A^{(\mathcal{S})}(n) \leq \gamma_B^{(\mathcal{T})}(n)$. This inequality implies the statements about growth rates. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finitely generated subsemigroup of a finitely generated semigroup $\mathcal{T}$. If the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is polynomial or subexponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is, respectively, polynomial or subexponential. If the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is superpolynomial or exponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is, respectively, superpolynomial or exponential.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 1. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be finitely generated semigroups and let $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a surjective semigroup homomorphism. If A is any finite generating set for $\mathcal{S}$, then $B = \{f(a) : a \in A\}$ is a finite generating set for $\mathcal{T}$, and*

$$\gamma_A^{(\mathcal{S})}(n) \geq \gamma_B^{(\mathcal{T})}(n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is polynomial or subexponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is, respectively, polynomial or subexponential. If the growth of $\mathcal{T}$ is superpolynomial or exponential, then the growth of $\mathcal{S}$ is, respectively, superpolynomial or exponential.

Proof. Let $y \in \mathcal{T}$. Since f is onto, there exists $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $f(x) = y$. If $\ell_A^{(\mathcal{S})}(x) = m$, then there is a sequence $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ such that $y = f(x) = f(a_1) \cdots f(a_m)$, and so $B = \{f(a) : a \in A\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{T}$. Conversely, if $y \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\ell_B(y) = m$, then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ such that $y = f(a_1) \cdots f(a_m)$. Let $x = a_1 \cdots a_m \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $f(x) = y$ and $\ell_A(x) \leq m$. This implies that $\gamma_B^{(\mathcal{T})}(n) \leq \gamma_A^{(\mathcal{S})}(n)$ for all n , and the growth conditions follow directly from this inequality. $\square$

The growth of a finitely generated abelian semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is always polynomial. Indeed, if A is a set of generators for $\mathcal{S}$ with $\text{card}(A) = k$, then

$$\lambda_A(n) \leq \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} \ll n^{k-1}$$

and

$$\gamma_A(n) \leq \binom{n+k}{k} \ll n^k.$$

More precisely, Khovanskii [3, 4], Nathanson [8], Nathanson and Ruzsa [10] proved that there is a polynomial $f_A(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $\lambda_A(n) = f_A(n)$ for all sufficiently large integers n . It follows that there is a polynomial $F_A(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $\gamma_A(n) = F_A(n)$ for all sufficiently large n .

The growth of finitely generated free semigroups of rank at least two is always exponential. If $\mathcal{S}$ is the free semigroup generated by a set of $k \geq 2$ elements, then $\lambda_A(n) = k^n$ and $\gamma_A(n) = (k^{n+1} - 1)/(k - 1) > k^n$. By Lemma 2, a semigroup that contains a free subsemigroup on two generators has exponential growth.

Semigroups of intermediate growth are more difficult to construct. Beljaev, Sesekin, and Trofimov [1] proved that the free semigroup generated by two elements e and g with the relations $e^2 = e$ and $eg^i eg^j e = eg^j eg^i e$ for all nonnegative integers i and j is a semigroup of intermediate growth. Okniński [11] constructed two examples of linear semigroups, that is, subsemigroups of the multiplicative semigroup $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$ of $n \times n$ matrices. Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ be the subsemigroup of $M_2(\mathbf{Z})$ generated by the set

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be the subsemigroup of $M_3(\mathbf{Z})$ generated by the set

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Both $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1$ are homomorphic images of the Beljaev, Sesekin, and Trofimov semigroup and have intermediate growth. Nathanson [6] gave a simple number-theoretical proof that $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ has intermediate growth, and Lavrik-Männlin [5] computed the growth function of $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$. Grigorchuk [2] and Shneerson [12, 13, 14] have also investigated the growth of semigroups.

In this paper we apply ideas from additive number theory to construct families of linear semigroups of intermediate growth that generalize Okniński's examples.

2. PARTITION FUNCTIONS OF SETS OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

Results about the intermediate growth of groups and semigroups often use estimates for the asymptotics of partition functions in additive number theory. We review some of these results here.

Let A be a set of positive integers and let $p_A(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to A . If $A = \mathbf{N}$ is the set of all positive integers, then $p(n) = p_{\mathbf{N}}(n)$ is the classical partition function.

If A is a nonempty finite set of relatively prime positive integers and $\text{card}(A) = r$, then

$$p_A(n) = \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} a} \right) \frac{n^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2})$$

(Nathanson [9] and [7, Theorem 15.2]). Let $p_r(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into at most r parts, let $\hat{p}_r(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into exactly r parts, and let $\hat{q}_r(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into exactly r distinct parts. Since the number of partitions of n into at most r parts is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to the set $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, it follows that

$$p_r(n) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{r!(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2})$$

and

$$(1) \quad \hat{p}_r(n) = p_r(n) - p_{r-1}(n) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{r!(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

We note that $p_r(n)$ and $\hat{p}_r(n)$ are increasing functions of n , and

$$(2) \quad p(n) = \sum_{r=1}^n \hat{p}_r(n).$$

If $n = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ is a partition of n into r distinct positive parts $a_1 > \dots > a_r$, then $n \geq r(r+1)/2$ and

$$n - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} = (a_1 - (r-1)) + (a_2 - (r-2)) + \dots + (a_r - 1) + a_1$$

is a partition of $n - r(r-1)/2$ into r parts. This identity establishes a bijection between partitions into exactly r distinct parts and partitions into exactly r parts,

and so

$$(3) \quad \hat{q}_r(n) = \hat{p}_r(n - r(r-1)/2) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{r!(r-1)!} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

The set A has asymptotic density $d(A) = \alpha$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leq n}} 1 = \alpha.$$

If $d(A) = \alpha > 0$ and $\gcd(A) = 1$, then

$$(4) \quad \log p_A(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{\alpha n}$$

where

$$c_0 = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{6}}.$$

(An elementary proof of (4) is in Nathanson [7, Theorem 16.1].) In particular, if $A = \mathbf{N}$, then $\alpha = 1$ and the partition function $p(n) = p_{\mathbf{N}}(n)$ satisfies the Hardy-Ramanujan asymptotic estimate

$$\log p(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{n}.$$

If A is the set of odd positive integers, then $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\log p_A(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{n/2}$. Let $q(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct positive integers. Since the number of partitions of an integer into distinct parts is equal to the number of partitions into odd parts, it follows that

$$\log q(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{n/2}.$$

3. A CONDITION FOR SUBEXPONENTIAL GROWTH

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a semigroup generated by a set $A = \{e, g\}$, where e is an idempotent. The set*

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = e\mathcal{S}e = \{exe : x \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}$ with identity e , and is generated by the set $\{eg^k e : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Define $g^0 = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{S} = \{g^i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{i,j=0}^{\infty} g^i \mathcal{S}_0 g^j.$$

Suppose that, for all nonnegative integers i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 ,

$$(5) \quad g^{i_1} \mathcal{S}_0 g^{j_1} \cap g^{i_2} \mathcal{S}_0 g^{j_2} \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } (i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2).$$

For all nonnegative integers i and j , let

$$\gamma_{i,j}(n) = \text{card}\{y \in g^i \mathcal{S}_0 g^j : \ell_A(y) \leq n\}$$

and let $\gamma_0(n) = \gamma_{0,0}(n)$. Then

$$\gamma_{i,j}(n) \leq \gamma_0(n - i - j).$$

Proof. Let $x \in g^i \mathcal{S}_0 g^j$. By condition (5), every representation of x as a word in e and g must be of the form $x = g^i y' g^j$ for some $y' \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Therefore,

$$\ell_A(x) = \min\{i + j + \ell_A(y') : y' \in \mathcal{S}_0 \text{ and } x = g^i y' g^j\} = i + j + \ell_A(y)$$

for some $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$. If $\ell_A(x) = m$, then $\ell_A(y) = m - i - j$ and so $\gamma_{i,j}(n) \leq \gamma_0(n - i - j)$. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a semigroup generated by a set $A = \{e, g\}$, where e is an idempotent, and let $\mathcal{S}_0 = e\mathcal{S}e$. Suppose that*

(i) *For all nonnegative integers k_1 and k_2 ,*

$$eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e = eg^{k_2}eg^{k_1}e$$

(ii) *For all nonnegative integers i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 ,*

$$g^{i_1}\mathcal{S}_0g^{j_1} \cap g^{i_2}\mathcal{S}_0g^{j_2} \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } (i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2).$$

Then the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ has subexponential growth.

Proof. If $e = 1$, then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 = \{g^i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and condition (ii) is not satisfied. Thus, we can assume that $e \neq 1$. If $1 \in \mathcal{S}_0$, then there exist positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_r$ such that $eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e \cdots eg^{k_r}e = 1$. Multiplying this identity by e , we obtain $e = 1$, which is absurd. Therefore, $1 \notin \mathcal{S}_0$.

Let $\gamma_0(n)$ denote the number of elements $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ such that $\ell_A(y) \leq n$. If $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ and $\ell_A(y) = m \leq n$, then conditions (i) and (ii) imply that either $y = e$ or there exist positive integers r and $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_r$ such that

$$y = eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e \cdots eg^{k_r}e$$

and

$$\ell_A(y) = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r + r + 1 = m.$$

Thus, to every $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ with $\ell_A(y) = m$ there are associated a positive integer r and a partition of $m - r - 1$ into exactly r parts, and so the number of elements $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ of length exactly m is

$$\lambda_0(m) = \gamma_0(m) - \gamma_0(m-1) \leq \sum_{r=1}^m \hat{p}_r(m-r-1) \leq \sum_{r=1}^m \hat{p}_r(m) = p(m)$$

by (2). Since $1 \notin \mathcal{S}_0$, we have

$$\gamma_0(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_0(m) \leq \sum_{m=1}^n p(m) \leq np(n).$$

Let $\gamma_{i,j}(n)$ denote the growth function of the set $g^i\mathcal{S}_0g^j$ with respect to the generating set A . By Lemma 4,

$$\gamma_{i,j}(n) \leq \gamma_0(n-i-j).$$

By condition (ii), if $g^i = g^j$, then $g^i\mathcal{S}_0g^i = g^j\mathcal{S}_0g^j$ and so $i = j$. Therefore, for every $n \geq 0$ there is exactly one element in the set $\{g^i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of length n , and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(n) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \gamma_{i,j}(n) + n + 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \gamma_0(n-i-j) + n + 1 \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 \gamma_0(n) + n + 1 \\ &\leq 2(n+1)^3 p(n). \end{aligned}$$

From the asymptotic formula (4) for the partition function, we obtain

$$\log \gamma_A(n) \leq \log 2(n+1)^3 + \log p(n) \ll \sqrt{n}$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Thus, the growth function $\gamma_A(n)$ is subexponential. $\square$

4. SEQUENCES WITH MANY PARTITION PRODUCTS

Let $W = \{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of elements in a semigroup $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ such that

$$w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} = w_{k_2} * w_{k_1}$$

for all positive integers k_1, k_2 . To every finite sequence of positive integers $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$ we associate the element $w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} * \dots * w_{k_r} \in \mathcal{X}$. For every integer $r \geq 1$, let $W_r(n)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{X}$ associated to partitions of positive integers not exceeding n into exactly r parts, that is, $w \in W_r(n)$ if and only if there is a sequence of positive integers $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$ such that $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r \leq n$ and $w = w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} * \dots * w_{k_r}$. We define

$$\Phi(r) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{card}(W_r(n))}{\log n}.$$

The sequence $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has *many partition products in W* if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(r) = \infty.$$

Here are some examples of sequences with many partition products.

Theorem 2. *For every positive integer d , let $\mathcal{U}_d$ be the multiplicative semigroup of all positive integers u such that $u \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. The sequence*

$$W = \{dk + 1\}_{k=1}^\infty$$

has many partition products.

Proof. Let $r \geq 1$ and let $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$ be a sequence of positive integers with $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r \leq n$. Associated to this sequence is the integer $(dk_1 + 1)(dk_2 + 1) \dots (dk_r + 1) \in W_r(n)$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of positive integers k such that $dk + 1$ is prime, and let $K(t)$ count the number of elements $k \in \mathcal{K}$ with $k \leq t$. If $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$ and $j_1 \geq j_2 \geq \dots \geq j_r$ are distinct sequences of elements of $\mathcal{K}$ such that $k_1 \leq n/r$ and $j_1 \leq n/r$, then $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r \leq n$ and $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_r \leq n$, hence $(dk_1 + 1)(dk_2 + 1) \dots (dk_r + 1) \in W_r(n)$ and $(dj_1 + 1)(dj_2 + 1) \dots (dj_r + 1) \in W_r(n)$. By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, $(dk_1 + 1)(dk_2 + 1) \dots (dk_r + 1) \neq (dj_1 + 1)(dj_2 + 1) \dots (dj_r + 1)$, and so

$$\text{card}(W_r(n)) \geq \binom{K(n/r) + r - 1}{r} \geq \frac{K(n/r)^r}{r!}.$$

Let $\pi(x, d, 1)$ denote the number of prime numbers $p \leq x$ such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Let

$$x = \frac{dn}{r} + 1.$$

Then $dk + 1 \leq x$ is prime if and only if $k \leq n/r$. By the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, for sufficiently large n we have

$$K(n/r) = \pi(x, d, 1) \gg \frac{x}{\log x} \gg \frac{n}{r \log n}$$

where the implied constants depend only on d . Therefore,

$$\text{card}(W_r(n)) \geq \frac{K(n/r)^r}{r!} \gg \frac{n^r}{r!r^r(\log n)^r}$$

and so

$$\log(\text{card}(W_r(n))) \gg r \log n - \log(r!r^r) - r \log \log n$$

and

$$\Phi(r) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\text{card}(W_r(n)))}{\log n} \gg r.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(r) = \infty$$

and the sequence $\{dk + 1\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has many partition products. This completes the proof. $\square$

In additive number theory, a sequence $\{b_k\}_{k=k_0}^{\infty}$ contained in an additive abelian semigroup is called a $\hat{B}_r$ -sequence if sums of r distinct terms of the sequence are distinct, that is, if $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r$, and if

$$b_{k_1} + b_{k_2} + \dots + b_{k_r} = b_{j_1} + b_{j_2} + \dots + b_{j_r}$$

then $k_i = j_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. The sequence is called a $\hat{B}_{\infty}$ -sequence if all finite sums of distinct elements of the set are distinct, that is, if $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s$, and if

$$b_{k_1} + b_{k_2} + \dots + b_{k_r} = b_{j_1} + b_{j_2} + \dots + b_{j_s}$$

then $r = s$ and $k_i = j_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. If $\{b_k\}_{k=k_0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\ell-1} b_k < b_{\ell}$ for all $\ell > k_0$, then the sequence is a $\hat{B}_{\infty}$ -sequence. In particular, a $\hat{B}_{\infty}$ -sequence is a $\hat{B}_r$ -sequence for all $r \geq 1$.

Lemma 5. *Let c, c_1, d , and t be positive real numbers with $t > 2$, and let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $|e_k| \leq c_1 k^d$ for all $k \geq 1$. There is an integer k_0 such that the sequence*

$$\{ct^k + e_k\}_{k=k_0}^{\infty}$$

is a strictly increasing $\hat{B}_{\infty}$ -sequence.

Proof. Let $b_k = ct^k + e_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Since $t > 2$ and $|e_k| \leq c_1 k^d$, there is an integer k_0 such that

$$0 < b_k < b_{k+1}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$, and also

$$(6) \quad \frac{c_1(t-1)(k+1)^{d+1}}{c(d+1)(t-2)} < t^k$$

for all $k > k_0$. Let $\ell > k_0$. Using (6) and the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} k^d < \frac{(\ell+1)^{d+1}}{d+1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=k_0}^{\ell-1} b_k &= c \sum_{k=k_0}^{\ell-1} t^k + \sum_{k=k_0}^{\ell-1} e_k \\
&< \frac{ct^\ell}{t-1} + c_1 \sum_{k=k_0}^{\ell-1} k^d \\
&< ct^\ell - c_1 \ell^d \\
&\leq b_\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let $c, c_1 d$, and t be positive real numbers with $t > 2$, and let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $|e_k| \leq c_1 k^d$ for all $k \geq 1$. Let k_0 be a positive integer such that sequence*

$$W = \{ct^k + e_k\}_{k=k_0}^\infty$$

is a $\hat{B}_\infty$ -sequence. Then W has many partial products.

Proof. Let $b_k = ct^k + e_k$ for $k \geq 1$. By Lemma 5, there is an integer k_0 such that $W = \{ct^k + e_k\}_{k=k_0}^\infty$ is a strictly increasing $\hat{B}_r$ -sequence for every positive integer r . To every partition $n = k_1 + \dots + k_r$ into exactly r distinct parts $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_r$, we associate the real number $b_{k_1+k_0} + b_{k_2+k_0} + \dots + b_{k_r+k_0} \in W_r(n + rk_0)$. Since W is a $\hat{B}_r$ -sequence, it follows that different partitions are associated to different real numbers, and so, by the partition asymptotic (3),

$$\text{card}(W_r(n + rk_0)) \geq \hat{q}_r(n) \gg n^{r-1}$$

and

$$\Phi(r) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{card}(W_r(n))}{\log n} \geq r - 1.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

5. A CONDITION FOR SUPERPOLYNOMIAL GROWTH

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a semigroup generated by a set $A = \{e, g\}$, where e is an idempotent. Let $\mathcal{S}_0 = e\mathcal{S}e = \{exe : x \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Suppose that, for all nonnegative integers k_1 and k_2 ,*

$$eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e = eg^{k_2}eg^{k_1}e.$$

Let φ be a semigroup homomorphism from $\mathcal{S}_0$ into a semigroup $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ such that the sequence

$$W = \{\varphi(eg^k e)\}_{k=1}^\infty$$

has many partition products in $\mathcal{X}$. Then the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ has superpolynomial growth.

Proof. Let $w_k = \varphi(eg^k e)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} &= \varphi(eg^{k_1} e) * \varphi(eg^{k_2} e) = \varphi(eg^{k_1} eg^{k_2} e) \\
&= \varphi(eg^{k_2} eg^{k_1} e) = \varphi(eg^{k_2} e) * \varphi(eg^{k_1} e) \\
&= w_{k_2} * w_{k_1}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$. If $y = eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e \cdots eg^{k_r}e \in \mathcal{S}_0$, then

$$\varphi(y) = \varphi(eg^{k_1}e) * \varphi(eg^{k_2}e) * \cdots * \varphi(eg^{k_r}e) = w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} * \cdots * w_{k_r}.$$

Let $\gamma_A(n)$ denote the growth function of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to A , and let $\gamma_0(n)$ denote the growth function of $\mathcal{S}_0$ with respect to A . Fix a positive integer r . If $w \in W_r(n - r - 1)$, then there are positive integers $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$ such that

$$w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} * \cdots * w_{k_r} = w$$

and

$$k_1 + \cdots + k_r \leq n - r - 1.$$

Let

$$y = eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e \cdots eg^{k_r}e \in \mathcal{S}_0.$$

Then

$$\varphi(y) = w_{k_1} * w_{k_2} * \cdots * w_{k_r} = w$$

and

$$\ell_A(y) \leq k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r + r + 1 \leq n.$$

Thus, to every element $w \in W_r(n - r - 1)$ there is at least one element $y \in \mathcal{S}_0$ with $\varphi(y) = w$ and $\ell_A(y) \leq n$, and so

$$\gamma_0(n) \geq \text{card}(W_r(n - r - 1)).$$

It follows that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_A(n)}{\log n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \gamma_0(n)}{\log n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{card}(W_r(n - r - 1))}{\log n} = \Phi(r).$$

Since this inequality is true for all positive integers r and $\Phi(r)$ tends to infinity, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_A(n)}{\log n} = \infty$$

and so the growth function $\gamma_A(n)$ is superpolynomial. $\square$

6. THE SEMIGROUPS $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$

Theorem 5. *Let d be a positive integer and let $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ be the subsemigroup of $M_2(\mathbf{Z})$ generated by the matrices*

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ has intermediate growth.

Proof. Multiplying matrices, we obtain

$$eg^k e = (dk + 1)e$$

and

$$eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e = (dk_1 + 1)(dk_2 + 1)e = eg^{k_2}eg^{k_1}e$$

for all nonnegative integers k_1, k_2 . It follows that $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 1, and

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{(d)} = e\mathcal{S}^{(d)}e = \{(dk + 1)e : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \{ue : u \in \mathcal{U}_d\}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_d$ is the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers that are congruent to 1 modulo d .

For all $u \in \mathcal{U}_d$ and all nonnegative integers i and j ,

$$g^i u e g^j = u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & di \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & dj \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = u \begin{pmatrix} di+1 & dj+d^2ij \\ 1 & dj \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_d$ and $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Then

$$g^{i_1} u_1 e g^{j_1} = g^{i_2} u_2 e g^{j_2}$$

if and only if $u_1 = u_2$ and $(i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2)$. Thus, the semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ also satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 1, and so has subexponential growth.

The function

$$\varphi : \mathcal{S}_0^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_d$$

defined by

$$\varphi(ue) = u$$

is a semigroup homomorphism. Let $w_k = \varphi(eg^k e) = \varphi((dk+1)e) = dk+1$ and $W = \{dk+1\}_{k=1}^\infty$. By Theorem 2, the sequence W has many partition products. By Theorem 4, the semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ has superpolynomial growth. This completes the proof. $\square$

Problem 1. *If d' divides d , then $\mathcal{S}^{(d')}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$. In particular, for every positive integer d , the semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{(d)}$ is a finitely generated subsemigroup of the Okniński semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be finitely generated subsemigroup of a finitely generated semigroup $\mathcal{S}$. How are the growth rates of $\mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{S}$ related?*

7. SUBSEMIGROUPS OF $M_3(\mathbf{R})$

For $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, let $F_k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be the symmetric function of degree k in m variables defined by

$$F_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathbf{N}_0^m \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = k}} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}.$$

Define $F_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ for $k < 0$. If $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, then

$$F_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 F_{k-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + F_k(x_2, \dots, x_m).$$

In particular,

$$(7) \quad F_k(x_1, x_2) = x_1 F_{k-1}(x_1, x_2) + x_2^k$$

and

$$(8) \quad F_k(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 F_{k-1}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + F_k(x_2, x_3).$$

Lemma 6. *Consider the upper triangular matrices*

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} s & v & w \\ 0 & t & u \\ 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with coefficients in a ring. For all $k \geq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= uF_{k-1}(r, t) \\ v_k &= vF_{k-1}(s, t) \\ w_k &= wF_{k-1}(r, s) + uvF_{k-2}(r, s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(9) \quad e^2 = e$$

$$(10) \quad g^k = \begin{pmatrix} s^k & v_k & w_k \\ 0 & t^k & u_k \\ 0 & 0 & r^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(11) \quad eg^ke = \begin{pmatrix} s^k & 0 & w_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(12) \quad eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e = \begin{pmatrix} s^{k_1+k_2} & 0 & s^{k_1}w_{k_2} + r^{k_2}w_{k_1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^{k_1+k_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$(13) \quad eg^{k_1}eg^{k_2}e = eg^{k_2}eg^{k_1}e$$

if and only if

$$(14) \quad s^{k_1}w_{k_2} + r^{k_2}w_{k_1} = s^{k_2}w_{k_1} + r^{k_1}w_{k_2}.$$

If $r = s = 1$, then (13) holds for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$.

Proof. Identity (10) holds for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$. If the formula is true for some $k \geq 1$, then (7) and (8) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} s^k & v_k & w_k \\ 0 & t^k & u_k \\ 0 & 0 & r^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & v & w \\ 0 & t & u \\ 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} s^{k+1} & s^k v + v_k t & s^k w + v_k u + w_k r \\ 0 & t^{k+1} & t^k u + u_k r \\ 0 & 0 & r^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} s^{k+1} & v_{k+1} & w_{k+1} \\ 0 & t^{k+1} & u_{k+1} \\ 0 & 0 & r^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and identity (10) follows by induction on k . Identities (11) and (12) follow by matrix multiplication, and (13) and (14) follow from inspection of (12). This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 6. Let t, u, v, w be real numbers with $t > 2$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the subsemigroup of $M_3(\mathbf{R})$ generated by the matrices

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & w \\ 0 & t & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ has intermediate growth.

Proof. For every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$t_k = 1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^{k-1} = \frac{t^k - 1}{t - 1}$$

and

$$w_k = \frac{uv t^k}{(t-1)^2} + \left(w - \frac{uv}{t-1} \right) k - \frac{uv}{(t-1)^2}.$$

By Lemma 6, we have $e^2 = e$,

$$g^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & vt_k & w_k \\ 0 & t^k & ut_k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$eg^k e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & w_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$eg^{k_1} eg^{k_2} e = eg^{k_2} eg^{k_1} e$$

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_0 = e\mathcal{T}e$. Then $\mathcal{T}_0$ consists of all matrices of the form

$$eg^{k_1} eg^{k_2} e \cdots eg^{k_r} e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & w_{k_1} + w_{k_2} + \cdots + w_{k_r} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $k_1, \dots, k_r$ is a finite sequence of nonnegative integers. For $i, j \in \mathbf{N}_0$, the set $g^i \mathcal{T}_0 g^j$ consists of all matrices of the form

$$(15) \quad g^i eg^{k_1} eg^{k_2} e \cdots eg^{k_r} eg^j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & vt_j & w_i + w_j + w_{k_1} + w_{k_2} + \cdots + w_{k_r} \\ 0 & 0 & ut_i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers, formula (15) implies that for all $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$g^{i_1} \mathcal{T}_0 g^{j_1} \cap g^{i_2} \mathcal{T}_0 g^{j_2} \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if

$$(i_1, j_1) = (i_2, j_2).$$

Thus, the semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 and so has subexponential growth.

Define the function $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\varphi(eg^k e) = w_k = ct^k + e_k$$

where $c = uv/(t-1)^2$ and $e_k = (w - uv/(t-1))k - c = O(k)$. Then φ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_0$ into the additive group of real numbers. By Theorem 3, there is an integer k_0 such that the sequence $W = \{w_k\}_{k=k_0}^\infty$ has many partial products. By Theorem 4, the semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ has superpolynomial growth. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank David Newman, Kevin O'Bryant, and Lev Shneerson for helpful discussions about this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Beljaev, N. F. Seseikin, and V. I. Trofimov, *Growth functions of semigroups and loops*, Ural. Gos. Univ. Mat. Zap. **10** (1977), no. 3 Issled. po Sovremen. Algebre, 3–8, 215. MR MR0480783 (58 #933)
- [2] R. I. Grigorchuk, *Semigroups with cancellations of degree growth*, Mat. Zametki **43** (1988), no. 3, 305–319, 428. MR MR941053 (89f:20065)
- [3] A. G. Khovanskii, *The Newton polytope, the Hilbert polynomial and sums of finite sets*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **26** (1992), no. 4, 57–63, 96. MR MR1209944 (94e:14068)
- [4] ———, *Sums of finite sets, orbits of commutative semigroups and Hilbert functions*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **29** (1995), no. 2, 36–50, 95. MR MR1340302 (96e:20091)
- [5] A. A. Lavrik-Männlin, *On some semigroups of intermediate growth*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **11** (2001), no. 5, 565–580. MR MR1869232 (2003f:20094)
- [6] M. B. Nathanson, *Number theory and semigroups of intermediate growth*, Amer. Math. Monthly **106** (1999), no. 7, 666–669. MR MR1720447
- [7] ———, *Elementary methods in number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 195, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR MR1732941 (2001j:11001)
- [8] ———, *Growth of sumsets in abelian semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **61** (2000), no. 1, 149–153. MR MR1839220 (2002c:11129)
- [9] ———, *Partitions with parts in a finite set*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 5, 1269–1273. MR MR1705753 (2000j:11152)
- [10] M. B. Nathanson and I. Z. Ruzsa, *Polynomial growth of sumsets in abelian semigroups*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **14** (2002), no. 2, 553–560. MR MR2040693 (2004k:11024)
- [11] J. Okniński, *Semigroups of matrices*, Series in Algebra, vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998. MR MR1785162 (2001g:20076)
- [12] L. M. Shneerson, *Relatively free semigroups of intermediate growth*, J. Algebra **235** (2001), no. 2, 484–546. MR MR1805469 (2002a:20068)
- [13] ———, *On semigroups of intermediate growth*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), no. 5, 1793–1803. MR MR2099701 (2005h:20131)
- [14] ———, *Types of growth and identities of semigroups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 1189–1204. MR MR2197827 (2006j:20086)

LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10468

E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu